

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

CHRISTIAN BLANCHARD,

Plaintiff,

V.

UNKNOWN STARLING, OFFICER,  
SMITH COUNTY JAIL,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:23-cv-548-JDK-JDL

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff Christian Blanchard, a former inmate of the Smith County Jail, proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, filed this lawsuit against Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Docket No. 6. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition of the action.

On February 10, 2025, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's case be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with a court order and failure to prosecute. Docket No. 41. Plaintiff has not filed objections, and the time to do so has passed.


This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

*Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not file any objections. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 41) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to comply with a court order and failure to prosecute.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **7th** day of **March, 2025**.

  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE